UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,437	06/10/2005	Atsushi Nakajima	05367/HG	3902
1933 7590 11/27/2007 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			EXAMINER	
			SHAH, MANISH S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/538,437	NAKAJIMA, ATSUSHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Manish S. Shah	2853			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	eptember 2007				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A44a=b=====	##a\					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application			
. ape	1 apor 110(s), minimize					

10/538,437 Art Unit: 2853

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-5 & 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanabe et al. (# US 2001/0047044) in view of Akahira et al. (# US 6540346).

Tanabe et al. discloses an inkjet recording method for forming image by ejecting an UV-setting ink-jet ink (see Abstract), which includes color materials ([0034]-[0036]), UV-polymeric compound, which is a radical polymeric compound (photo polymerization resin) (see Abstract; [0021]-[0029]), and photo-induced polymerization initiator (see Abstract; [0030]-[0033]) in a water-based medium (see Abstract; [0039]), on a base material and applying ultraviolet light to the ink on the base material ([0049]-[0056]; [0088]-[0090]).

Tanabe et al. differs from the claim of the present invention is that a concentration of oxygen dissolved in the ink is 0.1 to 2 ppm at 25 °C, preferably 0.5 to 2 ppm at 25 °C.

Art Unit: 2853

Akahira et al. teaches that to improve the ink discharge stability, the amount of oxygen dissolved in the ink is less than 1 ppm (column: 12, line: 57-67; column: 13, line: 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition of Tanabe et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Akahira et al. in order to have the improved ink discharge stability, which gives high quality printing.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 09/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that Akshira teaches nothing about UV setting ink jet ink, which is not persuasive. In the portion column: 8, line: 50-65, the reference clearly teaches that ink can be set by at least one of irradiation of light. Therefore, Akshira teaches the UV setting ink.
- 3. Applicant argued that the ink contain oxygen in an amount of 0.1 ppm to 2 ppm in order to refrain or inhibit polymerization of ink on nozzle. This problem and solution are not obvious from Akahira, which is not persuasive. Akahira et al. clearly to modify teaches that the in order to improve ink discharge stability ink contains oxygen contains 1 ppm or less (column: 12, line: 57-65). Therefore it will obvious the Tanabe et al. ink composition by the aforementioned teaching of Akahira et al.

## Conclusion

Page 4

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/538,437

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSS 11/19/07